

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application of:

Andres Vega-Garcia et al.

MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS SOFTWARE WITH NETWORK STREAMING AND

**MULTI-FORMAT CONFERENCING** 

Attorney Docket No.: 777.179US1



#### **BOX PATENT APPLICATION**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

We are transmitting herewith the following attached items and information (as indicated with an "X"):

'X Utility Patent Application under 37 CFR § 1.53(b) comprising:

- X Specification ( 16 pgs, including claims numbered 1 through 21 and a 1 page Abstract).
- X Formal Drawing(s) (2 sheets).
- X Unsigned Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney (<u>3</u> pgs).

Return postcard.

The filing fee (NOT ENCLOSED) will be calculated as follows:

	No. Filed	No. Extra	Rate	Fee
TOTAL CLAIMS	21 - 20 =	1	x 22 =	\$22.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	6 - 3 =	3	x 82 =	\$246.00
[ ] MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS PRESENTED				\$0.00
BASIC FEE				\$790.00
	TOTAL			\$1,058.00

THE FILING FEE WILL BE PAID UPON RECEIPT OF THE NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS.

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612-373-6900)

Customer Number 21186

Atty. Eduardo E. Drake Reg. No. 40,594

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EM287850383US Date of Deposit: September 21, 1998
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37
CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Bv:	Frances Essien		Signature:	M	mclo	SOU	
~,	11411000 2001011	<del></del>					

15

20

25

# MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS SOFTWARE WITH NETWORK STREAMING AND MULTI-FORMAT CONFERENCING

#### **Technical Field**

The present invention concerns computer systems, particularly systems, methods, and software which support real-time multimedia communications across a computer network.

## **Background of Invention**

Computers, particularly personal computers, have enjoyed, in recent years, an enormous growth in utility. Early computers allowed users to perform tasks such as word-processing and bookkeeping. Today, however, computers are being used also to manage, display, and manipulate multimedia data, such as digital video and audio. Additionally, computers have become everyday communications devices, nearly as common as telephones and televisions.

Much of this utility growth, especially for communications, stems from the fantastic, compounded growth of computer networks, such as the much heralded Internet. The Internet, a worldwide network of computers interconnected through private wiring systems and public telephone systems, functions as a planetary communications system for sending and receiving information from one computer to one or more other computers. The information can take almost any form, including text, audio, and video information.

Communicating audio and video information between computers can be problematic in at least two ways. First, many conventional computers include software for handling audio and video information which can be inconvenient to use. For example, many conventional computers include Microsoft's DirectShow software ---a system of interconnectable software modules (or filters)--- which allows computers to capture multimedia data into data-storage devices, such as hard drives, and to playback, or render, the captured data through their audio-video equipment. Thus, a user having the

10

15

20

25

DirectShow software can link her computer to a website or other computer featuring an audio-video clip, download (or copy) the clip into her local hard drive, and then play back the downloaded copy on her computer. Unfortunately, audio-video clips often include a great amount of data which, in some cases, requires several minutes to download, ultimately inconveniencing the user with a long wait. Accordingly, there is a need to extend software, such as Microsoft's DirectShow software, with features that allow concurrent download and playback, or streaming, of multimedia data.

Second, many conventional computers also include conferencing software, such as Microsoft's NetMeeting (version 2.1) software, which allows two or more computer users to communicate interactively across a computer network via audio-only or audio-video transmissions. A network conference typically requires that each party to the conference communicate using a common protocol not only for organizing and transmitting the audio and video data, but especially for encoding and decoding it. The common protocol ensures that each party to the conference ultimately understands what the other parties are communicating. Unfortunately, not all conferencing software uses the same protocol, frequently preventing users from network conferencing with users having different software. Accordingly, there is a need for network conferencing software that operates with more than one communications protocol.

In sum, there remains a need for a systems, methods and software which support streaming of multimedia data and multimedia conferencing via more than one communications protocol.

#### Summary

To address these and other needs, the inventors devised several new "pluggable," or interconnectable, software modules for addition to Microsoft's DirectShow software. Some of the modules allow the DirectShow software to handle real-time multimedia data exchange on the network (full-duplex communications), which ultimately allows streaming and network conferencing using more than one communications protocol. One embodiment of the invention includes a module that supports the Real-time Transport

10

15

Protocol (RTP) for streaming and another includes a demultiplexer module for routing the data, based on its type, to type-specific data handlers and decoders. In another embodiment, the demultiplexer module handles large multiparty conferences by monitoring incoming data streams and dynamically routing a subset of them to appropriate data handlers and decoders.

Another aspect of the invention concerns its modular, dynamically connectable architecture. The architecture includes a core set of modules which may be connected to emulate or form any type of conferencing software. In the exemplary embodiment, this conference software architecture includes an RTP source and rendering module, and RTP demultiplexer, send and receive payload handlers, and a set of decoder and encoder modules to handle various data types.

In its various embodiments, the invention encompasses systems, methods, computers, and computer-readable media of varying scope. In addition to the embodiments and advantages mentioned here, other embodiments and advantages will become apparent from the drawings and the following description.

#### **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Figure 1 is a block diagram of an exemplary computer system 10 embodying the invention; and

20 Figure 2 is a partial block diagram of multimedia communications software 60, which is a part computer system 10.

### **Detailed Description of the Invention**

The following detailed description, which references and incorporates Figures 1, 2A, and 2B, describes and illustrates one or more exemplary embodiments of the invention. These embodiments, offered not to limit but only to exemplify and teach the invention, are shown and described in sufficient detail to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention. Thus, where appropriate to avoid obscuring the invention, the description may omit certain information known to those of skill in the art.

#### **Overview**

The exemplary embodiment of the invention concerns extensions of Microsoft's Direct Show software, specifically version 2.0, to support streaming and network conferencing using more than one communications protocols.

# Exemplary Computer System Embodying the Invention

Figure 1 shows an exemplary computer system 10 which embodies the invention. The following description of system 10 is intended to provide a brief, general description of suitable computer hardware and a suitable computing environment for implementing the invention. Although not required, the invention is described in the general context of computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, being executed by a computer, such as a personal computer. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects, components, data structures, and so forth, that perform particular tasks or implement particular abstract data types.

Moreover, those skilled in the art will appreciate that the invention may be practiced with other computer system configurations, including hand-held devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based or programmable consumer electronics, network PCS, minicomputers, mainframe computers, and the like. The invention may also be practiced in distributed computing environments where tasks are performed by remote processing devices linked through a communications network. In a distributed computing environment, program modules may be located in both local and remote memory storage devices.

More particularly, computer system 10 includes a general purpose computing device in the form of a computer 20, including a processing unit 21, a system memory 22, and a system bus 23 that operatively couples various system components including the system memory to processing unit 21. There may be only one or there may be more than one processing unit 21, such that the processor of computer 20 comprises a single central-processing unit (CPU), or a plurality of processing units, commonly referred to as a parallel processing environment. Computer 20 may be a conventional computer, a distributed computer, or any other type of computer. Thus, the invention is not limited to

25

5

10

15

20

a particular computer.

5

10

15

20

25

System bus 23 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. The system memory may also be referred to as simply the memory, and includes read only memory (ROM) 24 and random access memory (RAM) 25. ROM 24 stores a basic input/output system (BIOS) 26, containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within the computer 20, such as during start-up. Computer 20 further includes a hard disk drive 27 for reading from and writing to a hard disk, not shown, a magnetic disk drive 28 for reading from or writing to a removable magnetic disk 29, and an optical disk drive 30 for reading from or writing to a removable optical disk 31, such as a CD ROM or other optical media.

Hard disk drive 27, magnetic disk drive 28, and optical disk drive 30 are connected to system bus 23 by a hard disk drive interface 32, a magnetic disk drive interface 33, and an optical disk drive interface 34, respectively. The drives and their associated computer-readable media provide nonvolatile storage of computer-readable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data for computer 20. Any type of computer-readable media which can store data accessible by a computer, such as magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks, Bernoulli cartridges, random access memories (RAMs), read only memories (ROMs), and the like, can be used in the exemplary operating environment.

A number of program modules are stored on the hard disk, magnetic disk 29, optical disk 31, ROM 24, or RAM 25, including an operating system 35, one or more application programs 36, other program modules 37, and program data 38. Operating system 35 provides numerous functions and services to application programs 36 stored by system memory 22, hard-disk drive 27, and/or hard-disk drive 50. Examples of suitable operating systems include past, present, and future versions of the Microsoft Windows operating system, the Microsoft Windows NT operating system, the IBM OS/2 operating system, and the Apple Computer AppleTalk operating system.

Of particular relevance to the present invention is an augmented DirectShow

multimedia software 62 included as one of application programs 36. Figure 2, a partial block diagram, shows that software 62 includes two parts: a receiver portion 64 and a sender portion 66. Receiver portion 64, which receives and processes incoming multimedia data, includes a media source 640, a demultiplexer (demux) module 641, one or more receiver payload handlers 642a and 642b, and one or more corresponding decoder modules 643a and 643b, audio mixer module 644, and media rendering (or playback) module 645. As explained in detail in the operations discussion below, these modules cooperate with other portions of system 10 to perform the primary functions of supporting streaming of multimedia data and network conferencing using more than one communications protocol. Sender portion 66, which prepares data internal to computer system 10 for transmission to other computer systems, for example during network conferencing, includes a media source module 661, a silence suppressor 662, an encoder 663, a sender payload handler 664, and a network rendering module 665. (Although this exemplary embodiment adopts this functional division, other functional divisions are feasible and thus lie within the scope of the present invention.)

System 10, as Figure 1 shows, accepts user commands and information through input devices such as a keyboard 40 and pointing device 42. Other input devices (not shown) include a microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are often connected to processing unit 21 through a serial port interface 46 that is coupled to the system bus, but can be connected by other interfaces, such as a parallel port, game port, or a universal serial bus (USB). A monitor 47 or other type of display device is also connected to system bus 23 via an interface, such as a video adapter 48. In addition to the monitor, computers typically include other peripheral output devices (not shown), such as speakers and printers.

Computer 20 can operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as remote computer 49. These logical connections are achieved by a communication device coupled to or a part of computer 20. However, the invention is not limited to a particular type of communications device. Remote computer 49, which may be another computer, a server, a router, a network personal

computer (PC), a client, a peer device or other common network node, typically includes many or all of the elements of computer 20, although Figure 1 only shows a memory storage device 50. The logical connections depicted in Figure 1 include a local-area network (LAN) 51 and a wide-area network (WAN) 52. Such networking environments are commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

When used in a LAN-networking environment, computer 20 is connected to the local network 51 through a network interface or adapter 53, which is one type of communications device. When used in a WAN-networking environment, computer 20 typically includes a modem 54, a type of communications device, or any other type of communications device for establishing communications over wide area network 52, such as the Internet. Modem 54, which may be internal or external, is connected to system bus 23 via serial port interface 46. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to personal computer 20, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. It is appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of and communications devices for establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

The exemplary computer may be a conventional computer, a distributed computer, or any other type of computer, since the invention is not limited to any particular computer. A distributed computer typically includes one or more processing units as its processor, and a computer-readable medium such as a memory. The computer may also include a communications device such as a network adapter or a modem, so that it is able to communicatively couple with other computers to form a computer network.

# Operation of the Exemplary Computer System

The invention primarily concerns operation of augmented DirectShow software 62, which as already noted is one of application programs 36 and has the exemplary structure shown in Figure 2. The following description describes operation of receiving portion 64 and sending portion 66 during an audio-video conference with remote computer 49 via network 51 or 52. However, the principles inherent to this one-on-one conference are equally applicable to conferences with three or more participants and to other communication scenarios.

Receiver portion 64, which includes a network source 640, demultiplexer module 641, one or more receiver payload handlers 642a and 642b for specific payload types, and one or more corresponding decoder modules 643a and 643b, audio mixer module 644, and media rendering (or playback) module 645. Network Source receives and validates data packets based on their headers, each of which identifies the format, or payload type, of its packet. Validation also includes discarding duplicates and rejecting "old" packets. In some embodiments, validation also includes matching SSRCs to IP addresses. Examples of data types include audio G.711, audio G.723.1, video H.261, and video H.263. The validated data then passes to demux module 641, which separates and routes the data packets based on their payload type to specific parts of the receive chain for further type-specific processing.

More precisely, demux module 641, in the exemplary embodiment, passes the data to either receiver payload handler 642a or 642b, whichever is appropriate to the specific payload type. In the exemplary embodiment, demux module 641 routes data based on SSRCs and payload type. Although the exemplary embodiment shows only two

payload handlers, other embodiments provide as many as possible to accommodate a wide, if not exhaustive, variety of data types.

In addition, the exemplary demux module 641 includes unique features for handling large, multiparty conferences, for example, those having tens, hundreds, or even thousands of parties. In this context, demux module 641 singles out a limited number of the incoming data streams and dynamically maps, or routes, this subset of streams to the output modules, or filters, for further processing and eventual rendering. This dynamic mapping mechanism limits the number of concurrent speakers that a conference client can actively hear during the multiparty conference to a manageable number, both from the perspective of a listening party and system processing capability.

To this end, demux module 641 monitors incoming data stream activity for each conference party, or speaker, and decides to map or not to map the data stream to downstream modules for further processing based on the active or inactive status of the stream. Demux module 641 also monitors for new speakers. If a new speaker is detected, for example, based on detection of a new SSRC field in an incoming data packet, and one of the currently mapped streams has been inactive for a certain timeout period, demux module 641 replaces the inactive stream with the new stream. And if necessary, demux module 641 maps it to a different type-specific payload handler and decoder.

The payload handlers perform functions necessary to ensure proper decoding of the data. Example of such functions, all of which are well known, include reassembling or combining several data packets into a larger data package, reordering a received sequence of data packets, detecting and rejecting duplicated packets, or computing and compensating delay jitter.

Receiver payload handler 642a and 642b then pass on the data to respective decoder modules 643a and 642b, which independently decode the data to forms appropriate to rendering, or playback, by appropriate components of computer system 10. In the exemplary embodiment, this entails restoring the packetized data to a form which, barring any transmission or reception errors, resembles its form prior to being transmitted

across network 51 or 52 by remote computer 49. After decoding, video data passes directly to media rendering module 645 for playback. Audio data, on the other hand, passes first to mixer 644, which combines acoustic information coming from several sources into a single audio stream compatible with media rendering module 645. Media rendering module 645 is generally associated with a video window, a data file, a sound card, or other audio or video transducer. (The exemplary embodiment associates media rendering module 645 with a call-control module (not shown) and processes H.323 media formats using the H.245 protocol.)

A user of system 10 can also rely on sender portion 66 of augmented DirectShow software 62 to transmit audio-only or audio-video data (as well as other types of data) back to remote computer 49. In the exemplary embodiment, system 10 responds with the same format of data as it received; however, other embodiments respond with data having respond with a different format. In general, data passes from module to module of sender portion 66, which includes media source module 661, silence supressor 662, encoder 663, a sender payload handler 664, and a network rendering module 665, before being transmitted across network 51 or 52 to computer 49.

More specifically, the data, such as audio samples or a video frame, intended for transmission originate from media source module 661 and then proceed to encoder module 663. Media source 661 is associated with a camera, video-capture board, a sound card coupled to a microphone, or other audio source such as a radio, cassette player, compact-disc player, or an audio, video, or audio-video file. In the case of audio data, the data passes first through silence suppressor 663 to reject data, for example, silences or low-level background sounds, which provide little or no useful information and would otherwise waste available bandwidth. However if the data is not audio, it passes directly to encoder 663, which converts the data, according to conventional techniques, into a more compact form to conserve bandwidth.

Encoder 663 passes the compacted data to sender payload handler 664, and handler 664 converts it to a form suitable for transmission over a packet switched network, network 50 or 51 for example. For video data, this conversion includes splitting

a video frame into smaller pieces or subframes according to conventional techniques. Network rendering module 664 then sends the subdivided data onto the network, adding headers according to a specific transport protocol. Examples of suitable transport protocols include UDP, TCP, HTTP, and ATM.

In the exemplary embodiment, network source and network rendering module 664 support conventional streaming, bandwidth reservation, communications quality, telephony, and encryption protocols. Examples of protocols or application program interfaces supported by these modules include RTP (real-time transport protocol), RTCP (real-time transport control protocol), RSVP (resource reservation protocol), QOS (quality of service), GQOS (generic quality of service), TAPI 3.0 (telephony interface) and CryptoAPI (cryptographic application program interface). Further information on these aspects of the exemplary embodiment are publicly available in numerous RFCs (request for comments), SDKs (software development kits), and DDKs (device driver kits) as well as other sources. For instance, RFC 1889 entitled RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (January 1996) and RFC 1890 entitled RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control (January 1996) describe relevant aspects of the type of streaming supported in the exemplary embodiment.

Many conditions that occur in RTP, RTCP, QOS, and local computer-system are signaled up through DirectShow events, that is, short messages that the filters **send** to a user of the filter graph. These include, for example, detection of a new participant, detection of departing session participant, presence of QOS receivers or senders, no memory or network (socket) errors. The RTCP protocol allows the exchange of network information through the RTCP reports. This information can serve a variety of purposes, including, for example, modifying the rate media source module 661 produces data, modifying bit output rate of encoder 663, or even selecting an alternative encoder (or encoding protocol) for sender portion 66. This kind of feedback response ultimately adapts the characteristics of sender portion 66 toward an optimal quality level for a given set of network resources.

#### Conclusion

In furtherance of the art, the inventors devised several new software modules, or filters, for addition to Microsoft's DirectShow software. Some of the modules allow the DirectShow software to support streaming and network conferencing using more than one communications protocol. For example, one module supports the Real-time Transport Protocol for streaming and another routes incoming network data, based on its type, to type-specific data handlers and decoders.

The embodiments described above are intended only to illustrate and teach one or more ways of practicing or implementing the present invention, not to restrict its breadth or scope. The actual scope of the invention, which embraces all ways of practicing or implementing the invention, is defined only by the following claims and their equivalents.

# Claims

- 1. A computer system comprising two or more receiver payload handler modules and two or more corresponding decoder modules for handling and decoding two or more types of data.
- 2. The computer system of claim 1 wherein one or more of the payload handler modules handles audio G.711, audio G.723.1, video H.261, or video H.263 data and one or more of the decoder modules decodes audio G.711, audio G.723.1, video H.261 or video H.263 data.
- 3. The computer system of claim 1 further comprising a demultiplexer operatively coupled to the two or more receiver payload handler modules for routing data to one of the receiver payload handlers based on data type.
- 4. The computer system of claim 1 further comprising a demultiplexer operatively coupled to the one or more decoders for routing data to one of the decoders based on data type.
- 5. The computer system of claim 1 further including an audio mixer operatively coupled to the two or more corresponding decoders.
- 6. The computer system of claim 1 further including a media rendering module operatively coupled to the one or more decoders.
- 7. The computer system of claim 1 wherein one or more of the payload handlers includes: means for reassembling or combining two or more data packets, means for reordering data packets, means for detecting and rejecting duplicate data packets, or means for computing and compensating delay jitter.

- 8. The computer system of claim 1 further including means for streaming data.
- 9. A computer system comprising a demultiplexer operatively coupled to two or more receiver payload handler modules or to two or more decoder modules for routing data to one of the receiver payload handlers or to one of the decoder modules based on data type.
- 10. The computer system of claim 9 wherein one or more of the receiver payload handler modules is operatively coupled to one of the decoder modules.
- 11. The computer system of claim 9 wherein one or more of the payload handler modules handles audio G.711, audio G.723.1, video H.261, or video H.263 data and one or more of the decoder modules decodes audio G.711, audio G.723.1, video H.261 or video H.263 data.
- 12. The computer system of claim 9 further including an audio mixer coupled to the two or more decoders.
- 13. The computer system of claim 9 further including a media rendering module coupled to the two or more decoders.
- 14. The computer system of claim 9 further including means for streaming data.
- 15. A computer-readable medium comprising:a first set of instructions for decoding a first type of audio or video data; anda second set of instructions for decoding a second type of audio or video data.
- 16. The computer-readable medium of claim 15 further including a third set of instructions for streaming the first or second type of audio or video data.

- 17. A computer-readable medium comprising a first set of computer-executable instructions for routing data to one of at least two decoder modules or to one of at least two receiver payload handler modules.
- 18. A method of conducting a network conference with two or more computer systems, the method comprising:

receiving audio or video data from first and second computer systems; determining the type of the audio or video data from the first computer system; routing the audio or video data from the first computer system to a first decoder

based on the determination of the type of audio or video data; determining the type of the audio or video data from the second computer system; and

routing the audio or video data from the second computer system to a second decoder based on the determination of the type of audio or video data.

- 19. The method of claim 18 further comprising:

  decoding the audio or video data from the first and second computer systems; and
  rendering the audio or video data from the first and second computer systems.
- 20. The method of claim 19 wherein the audio or video data from the first or the second computer system is audio G.711 data, audio G.723.1 data, video H.261, or video H.263 data.
- 21. A network conferencing system comprising: an RTP demultiplexer for receiving and routing one or more RTP data streams based on data type; two or more decoder modules coupled to the demultiplexer for decoding data; and a rendering module coupled to the decoder for playing back one or more RTP data streams.

#### Abstract

Computers, particularly personal computers, have become everyday communications devices, nearly as common as telephones and televisions. A big reason for this is the expansion of computer networks, such as the Internet, which allow sending and receiving information, including audio and video information, from one computer to one or more other computers. Communicating audio and video information between computers can be problematic because users have to wait for the information to be fully downloaded before viewing or hearing it and because different computers sometimes communicate using incompatible communications protocols, which prevents comprehension. Accordingly, the inventors devised new methods, software, and computer systems that support interactive data streaming (real-time exchange of multimedia data) and full-duplex communications, for example, network conferencing, using more than one communications protocol.

Date of Deposit:

Name


Descript that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

10

5

COLUMN TOTAL

ō



F16.2

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

# **United States Patent Application**

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS SOFTWARE WITH NETWORK STREAMING AND MULTI-FORMAT CONFERENCING.

The specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (see page 4 attached hereto).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

# No such applications have been filed.

ŀ± M I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

# No such applications have been filed.

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) Listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

# No such applications have been filed.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

Arora, Suneel Bernkopf, Paul A. Bianchi, Timothy E. Billion, Richard E. Black, David W. Brennan, Thomas F. Brooks, Edward J., III Clark, Barbara J. Crouse, Daniel D. Drake, Eduardo E. Dryja, Michael A.	Reg. No. 24,916 Reg. No. P-42,267 Reg. No. 41,615 Reg. No. 39,610 Reg. No. 32,836 Reg. No. 42,331 Reg. No. 35,075 Reg. No. 40,925 Reg. No. 38,107 Reg. No. 32,022 Reg. No. 40,594 Reg. No. 39,662 Reg. No. 39,665	Fogg, David N. Forrest, Bradley A. Hale, Jeffrey D. Harris, Robert J. Holloway, Sheryl S. Huebsch, Joseph C. Kalis, Janal M. Klima-Silberg, Catherine I. Kluth, Daniel J. Lacy, Rodney L. Leffert, Thomas W. Lemaire, Charles A. Litman, Mark A.	Reg. No. 35,138 Reg. No. 30,837 Reg. No. 40,012 Reg. No. 37,346 Reg. No. 37,850 Reg. No. 42,673 Reg. No. 37,650 Reg. No. 40,052 Reg. No. 32,146 Reg. No. 41,136 Reg. No. 40,697 Reg. No. 36,198 Reg. No. 26,390	Lundberg, Steven W. Mates, Robert E. McCrackin, Ann M. Padys, Danny J. Polglaze, Daniel J. Sako, Katie E. Schwegman, Micheal L. Sieffert, Kent J. Slifer, Russell D. Terry, Kathleen R. Viksnins, Ann S. Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,568 Reg. No. 35,271 Reg. No. 42,858 Reg. No. 35,635 Reg. No. 39,801 Reg. No. 25,816 Reg. No. 41,312 Reg. No. 39,838 Reg. No. 31,884 Reg. No. 37,748 Reg. No. 30,440
---	---	--	---	--	---

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/ firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. at the address indicated below:

P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone No. (612)373-6900

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number itizenship: Post Office Address:	Mexico 7302 127th Place SE Newcastle, WA 98056	Residence: Newcastle, WA	
Signature: Full Name of joint inventor num Citizenship: Post Office Address:	per 2: Mu Han Peoples Republic of China 650 Duvall Ave. N.E. Apt. #E521 Renton, WA 98059	Residence: Renton, WA	
Signature:		Date:	
, Mu H			
Full Name of joint inventor number			-
Full Name of joint inventor num	per 3: Don Ryan		
Citizenship:	United States of America	Residence: Redmond, WA	
Post Office Address:	P.O. Box 429		
Signature: Don I	Redmond, WA 98073		
Signature:		Date:	
Don I	Ryan		
			an and an
Full Name of joint inventor num	per 4: Thomas Pfenning		
Citizenship:	Germany	Residence: Bellevue, WA	
Post Office Address:	2025 178th Street	,	
	Bellevue, WA 98008		
		Date:	
Signature: Thom	as Pfenning	Date.	
<del></del>			**

X Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address: Signature:	or number 5: Rajeev Byrisetty India 13811 NE 11th Street Bellevue, WA 98005  Rajeev Byrisetty	Residence: Bellevue, WA  Date:	
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	or number 6: Stefan Solomon United States of America 16827 NE 35th Street Bellevue, WA 98008	Residence: Bellevue, WA	_
Signature:	Stefan Solomon	Date:	
Signature:  Fill Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:	Sterail Solomon	Residence:  Date:	
angliature.			
Signature:  Full Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	_
Signature:		Date:	

# § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

Mr. You

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d)	Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by di	isclosing information to the attorney,
	inventor.Date:	

Andres Vega-Garcia